Scott Harper

University of St Andrews

New Perspectives in Pure Mathematics University of Bristol 29 March 2023





Let G be a finite group.

Let G be a finite group.



Let G be a finite group.



Let G be a finite group.



Let G be a finite group.



Let G be a finite group.



Let G be a finite group.

A generating set of G is a **minimal** if no proper subset generates G. Write: **d**(**G**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) generating set of G **m**(**G**) for the maximum size of a minimal generating set of G

Examples

1 $G = C_p^n$ a minimal generating set is a basis of \mathbb{F}_p^n

2
$$G = S_n$$
 $G = \langle (12), (12 \dots n) \rangle$

$$(n \ge 3)$$
 $G = \langle (12), (23), (34), \dots, (n-1n) \rangle$

Let G be a finite group.

A generating set of G is a **minimal** if no proper subset generates G. Write: **d**(**G**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) generating set of G **m**(**G**) for the maximum size of a minimal generating set of G

Examples

1 $G = C_p^n$ a minimal generating set is a basis of \mathbb{F}_p^n so d(G) = m(G) = n

2
$$G = S_n$$
 $G = \langle (12), (12 \dots n) \rangle$

$$(n \ge 3)$$
 $G = \langle (12), (23), (34), \dots, (n-1n) \rangle$

Let G be a finite group.

A generating set of G is a **minimal** if no proper subset generates G. Write: **d**(**G**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) generating set of G **m**(**G**) for the maximum size of a minimal generating set of G

Examples

1
$$G = C_p^n$$
 a minimal generating set is a basis of \mathbb{F}_p^n so $d(G) = m(G) = n$

2
$$G = S_n$$
 $G = \langle (12), (12 \dots n) \rangle$ so $d(G) = 2$

$$(n \ge 3)$$
 $G = \langle (12), (23), (34), \dots, (n-1n) \rangle$

Let G be a finite group.

A generating set of G is a **minimal** if no proper subset generates G. Write: **d**(**G**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) generating set of G **m**(**G**) for the maximum size of a minimal generating set of G

Examples

1
$$G = C_p^n$$
 a minimal generating set is a basis of \mathbb{F}_p^n so $d(G) = m(G) = n$

2
$$G = S_n$$
 $G = \langle (12), (12 \dots n) \rangle$ so $d(G) = 2$
 $(n \ge 3)$ $G = \langle (12), (23), (34), \dots, (n-1 n) \rangle$ so $m(G) \ge n-1$

Let G be a finite group.

A generating set of G is a **minimal** if no proper subset generates G. Write: **d**(**G**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) generating set of G **m**(**G**) for the maximum size of a minimal generating set of G

Examples

1
$$G = C_p^n$$
 a minimal generating set is a basis of \mathbb{F}_p^n so $d(G) = m(G) = n$

2
$$G = S_n$$
 $G = \langle (12), (12 \dots n) \rangle$ so $d(G) = 2$
 $(n \ge 3)$ $G = \langle (12), (23), (34), \dots, (n-1n) \rangle$ so $m(G) \ge n-1$

Theorem (Whiston | 2000)

If $n \ge 3$, then $m(S_n) = n - 1$.

Let G be a finite group.

A generating set of G is a **minimal** if no proper subset generates G. Write: **d**(**G**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) generating set of G **m**(**G**) for the maximum size of a minimal generating set of G

Examples

1
$$G = C_p^n$$
 a minimal generating set is a basis of \mathbb{F}_p^n so $d(G) = m(G) = n$

2
$$G = S_n$$
 $G = \langle (12), (12 \dots n) \rangle$ so $d(G) = 2$
 $(n \ge 3)$ $G = \langle (12), (23), (34), \dots, (n-1n) \rangle$ so $m(G) \ge n-1$

Theorem (Whiston | 2000)

If
$$n \ge 3$$
, then $m(S_n) = n - 1$ and $m(A_n) = n - 2$.

Let G be a finite group.

A generating set of G is a **minimal** if no proper subset generates G. Write: **d**(**G**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) generating set of G **m**(**G**) for the maximum size of a minimal generating set of G

Examples

1
$$G = C_p^n$$
 a minimal generating set is a basis of \mathbb{F}_p^n so $d(G) = m(G) = n$

2
$$G = S_n$$
 $G = \langle (12), (12 \dots n) \rangle$ so $d(G) = 2$
 $(n \ge 3)$ $G = \langle (12), (23), (34), \dots, (n-1n) \rangle$ so $m(G) \ge n-1$

Theorem (Whiston | 2000)

If
$$n \ge 3$$
, then $m(S_n) = n - 1$ and $m(A_n) = n - 2$.

Theorem (Tarski | 1975)

For all $d(G) \leq k \leq m(G)$, the group G has a minimal generating set of size k.

If G is a finite simple group, then $d(G) \leq 2$.

If G is a finite simple group, then $d(G) \leq 2$.

Theorem (Whiston & Saxl | 2002)

If p is prime, then $2 + \omega(f) \leqslant m(\mathsf{PSL}_2(p^f)) \leqslant \max\{2 + \omega(f), 6\}.$

If G is a finite simple group, then $d(G) \leq 2$.

Theorem (Whiston & Saxl | 2002)

If p is prime, then $2 + \omega(f) \leqslant m(\mathsf{PSL}_2(p^f)) \leqslant \max\{2 + \omega(f), 6\}.$

Write $\omega(\mathbf{n})$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of \mathbf{n} .

If G is a finite simple group, then $d(G) \leq 2$.

Theorem (Whiston & Saxl | 2002)

If p is prime, then $2 + \omega(f) \leqslant m(\mathsf{PSL}_2(p^f)) \leqslant \max\{2 + \omega(f), 6\}.$

Theorem (H | 2023)

If G is a finite simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} , where p is prime, then $2r + \omega(f) \leq m(G) \leq a(2r + \omega(f))^b$.

Write $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n.

If G is a finite simple group, then $d(G) \leq 2$.

Theorem (Whiston & Saxl | 2002)

If p is prime, then $2 + \omega(f) \leqslant m(\mathsf{PSL}_2(p^f)) \leqslant \max\{2 + \omega(f), 6\}.$

Theorem (H | 2023)

If G is a finite simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} , where p is prime, then $2r + \omega(f) \leq m(G) \leq a(2r + \omega(f))^b$. [e.g. $a = 10^5 \& b = 10$]

Write $\omega(\mathbf{n})$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of \mathbf{n} .

If G is a finite simple group, then $d(G) \leq 2$.

Theorem (Whiston & Saxl | 2002)

If p is prime, then $2 + \omega(f) \leqslant m(\mathsf{PSL}_2(p^f)) \leqslant \max\{2 + \omega(f), 6\}.$

Theorem (H | 2023)

If G is a finite simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} , where p is prime, then $2r + \omega(f) \leq m(G) \leq a(2r + \omega(f))^b$. [e.g. $a = 10^5 \& b = 10$]

e.g. If
$$n \ge 2$$
, $\mathsf{PSL}_n(p) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$

Write $\omega(\mathbf{n})$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n.

There are very similar results for all almost simple groups.

There are very similar results for all almost simple groups.

A group G is **almost simple** if $G_0 \leq G \leq Aut(G_0)$ for nonabelian simple G_0 .

There are very similar results for all almost simple groups.

A group G is **almost simple** if $G_0 \leq G \leq Aut(G_0)$ for nonabelian simple G_0 .

e.g. $G_0 = A_n$ and $G = S_n$,

There are very similar results for all almost simple groups.

A group G is **almost simple** if $G_0 \leq G \leq Aut(G_0)$ for nonabelian simple G_0 .

e.g. $G_0 = A_n$ and $G = S_n$, $G_0 = PSL_n(q)$ and $G = PGL_n(q)$,

There are very similar results for all almost simple groups.

A group G is **almost simple** if $G_0 \leq G \leq Aut(G_0)$ for nonabelian simple G_0 .

e.g. $G_0 = A_n$ and $G = S_n$, $G_0 = PSL_n(q)$ and $G = PGL_n(q)$, $G_0 = G = \sqrt{2}$

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Write: **b**(**G**, **X**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) base for G on X **B**(**G**, **X**) for the maximum size of a minimal base for G on X

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Write: **b**(**G**, **X**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) base for G on X **B**(**G**, **X**) for the maximum size of a minimal base for G on X

Examples

1 Let $G = GL_n(F)$ act on $X = F^n$. Then a minimal base is just a basis of F^n .

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Write: **b**(**G**, **X**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) base for G on X **B**(**G**, **X**) for the maximum size of a minimal base for G on X

Examples

1 Let $G = GL_n(F)$ act on $X = F^n$. Then a minimal base is just a basis of F^n . Therefore b(G, X) = B(G, X) = n.

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Write: **b**(**G**, **X**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) base for G on X **B**(**G**, **X**) for the maximum size of a minimal base for G on X

Examples

- Let G = GL_n(F) act on X = Fⁿ. Then a minimal base is just a basis of Fⁿ. Therefore b(G, X) = B(G, X) = n.
- **2** Let $G = PGL_n(F)$ act on $X = \{\{\langle v_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle v_n \rangle\} \mid \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ a basis of $F^n\}$.

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Write: **b**(**G**, **X**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) base for G on X **B**(**G**, **X**) for the maximum size of a minimal base for G on X

Examples

- Let G = GL_n(F) act on X = Fⁿ. Then a minimal base is just a basis of Fⁿ. Therefore b(G, X) = B(G, X) = n.
- 2 Let $G = PGL_n(F)$ act on $X = \{\{\langle v_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle v_n \rangle\} \mid \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis of $F^n\}$. Then $b(G, X) = 2 \& B(G, X) \ge n - 1$.

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Write: **b**(**G**, **X**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) base for G on X **B**(**G**, **X**) for the maximum size of a minimal base for G on X

Examples

- Let G = GL_n(F) act on X = Fⁿ. Then a minimal base is just a basis of Fⁿ. Therefore b(G, X) = B(G, X) = n.
- 2 Let $G = PGL_n(F)$ act on $X = \{\{\langle v_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle v_n \rangle\} \mid \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis of $F^n\}$. Then $b(G, X) = 2 \& B(G, X) \ge n - 1$.

[**e.g.** $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, $\{e_1, (e_1 + e_2), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4)\}$

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Write: **b**(**G**, **X**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) base for G on X **B**(**G**, **X**) for the maximum size of a minimal base for G on X

Examples

- Let G = GL_n(F) act on X = Fⁿ. Then a minimal base is just a basis of Fⁿ. Therefore b(G, X) = B(G, X) = n.
- 2 Let $G = PGL_n(F)$ act on $X = \{\{\langle v_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle v_n \rangle\} \mid \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis of $F^n\}$. Then $b(G, X) = 2 \& B(G, X) \ge n - 1$. [e.g. $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}, \{e_1, (e_1 + e_2), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4)\}$

 $\{(e_1 + e_2), e_2, e_3, e_4\}, \{e_1, (e_1 + e_2), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3), e_4\}, \{e_1, e_2, (e_3 + e_4), e_4\}\}$

Let G act faithfully on a finite set X.

A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ is a **base** if the pointwise stabiliser $G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ is 1.

Write: **b**(**G**, **X**) for the minimum size of a (minimal) base for G on X **B**(**G**, **X**) for the maximum size of a minimal base for G on X

Examples

- Let G = GL_n(F) act on X = Fⁿ. Then a minimal base is just a basis of Fⁿ. Therefore b(G, X) = B(G, X) = n.
- 2 Let $G = PGL_n(F)$ act on $X = \{\{\langle v_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle v_n \rangle\} \mid \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis of $F^n\}$. Then $b(G, X) = 2 \& B(G, X) \ge n - 1$. [e.g. $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}, \{e_1, (e_1 + e_2), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4)\}$
 - $\{(e_1 + e_2), e_2, e_3, e_4\}, \{e_1, (e_1 + e_2), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3), (e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4)\} \\ \{(e_1 + e_2), e_2, e_3, e_4\}, \{e_1, (e_2 + e_3), e_3, e_4\}, \{e_1, e_2, (e_3 + e_4), e_4\}\}$

Question Is there a minimal base of size k for all $b(G, X) \leq k \leq B(G, X)$?

If G is a finite almost simple group with a faithful primitive nonstandard action on X, then $b(G, X) \leq 7$ with equality if and only if $G = M_{24}$ and |X| = 24.

If G is a finite almost simple group with a faithful primitive nonstandard action on X, then $b(G, X) \leq 7$ with equality if and only if $G = M_{24}$ and |X| = 24.

Theorem

If G is a finite almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} with a faithful primitive action on X, then (a) $B(G, X) \leq ar^b + \omega(f)$ [H | 2023]

[e.g. a = 177 & b = 8]

If G is a finite almost simple group with a faithful primitive nonstandard action on X, then $b(G, X) \leq 7$ with equality if and only if $G = M_{24}$ and |X| = 24.

Theorem

If G is a finite almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} with a faithful primitive action on X, then (a) $B(G, X) \leq ar^b + \omega(f)$ [H | 2023]

[e.g. a = 177 & b = 8]

Write: $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n

If G is a finite almost simple group with a faithful primitive nonstandard action on X, then $b(G, X) \leq 7$ with equality if and only if $G = M_{24}$ and |X| = 24.

Theorem

If G is a finite almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} with a faithful primitive action on X, then (a) $B(G, X) \leq ar^b + \omega(f)$ [H | 2023]

[e.g. *a* = 177 & *b* = 8]

Write: $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n

Connection A stronger version of (a) is a crucial ingredient for proving the upper bound on m(G) for almost simple groups Lie type G.

If G is a finite almost simple group with a faithful primitive nonstandard action on X, then $b(G, X) \leq 7$ with equality if and only if $G = M_{24}$ and |X| = 24.

Theorem

If G is a finite almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} with a faithful primitive action on X, then (a) $B(G, X) \leq ar^b + \omega(f)$ [H | 2023]

[e.g. a = 177 & b = 8]

Write: $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n

Connection A stronger version of (a) is a crucial ingredient for proving the upper bound on m(G) for almost simple groups Lie type G.

Write I(G, X) for the maximum size of an **irredundant base** $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$, i.e. $G > G_{(x_1)} > G_{(x_1,x_2)} > \cdots > G_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)} = 1$. Note that $B(G, X) \leq I(G, X)$.

If G is a finite almost simple group with a faithful primitive nonstandard action on X, then $b(G, X) \leq 7$ with equality if and only if $G = M_{24}$ and |X| = 24.

Theorem

If G is a finite almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{IF}_{p^f} with a faithful primitive action on X, then (a) $B(G, X) \leq ar^b + \omega(f)$ [H | 2023] (b) $I(G, X) \leq ar^b + \Omega(f)$ [Gill & Liebeck | 2022] [e.g. a = 177 & b = 8]

Write: $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n

Connection A stronger version of (a) is a crucial ingredient for proving the upper bound on m(G) for almost simple groups Lie type G.

Write I(G, X) for the maximum size of an **irredundant base** $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$, i.e. $G > G_{(x_1)} > G_{(x_1, x_2)} > \cdots > G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} = 1$. Note that $B(G, X) \leq I(G, X)$.

If G is a finite almost simple group with a faithful primitive nonstandard action on X, then $b(G, X) \leq 7$ with equality if and only if $G = M_{24}$ and |X| = 24.

Theorem

If G is a finite almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{IF}_{p^f} with a faithful primitive action on X, then (a) $B(G, X) \leq ar^b + \omega(f)$ [H | 2023] (b) $I(G, X) \leq ar^b + \Omega(f)$ [Gill & Liebeck | 2022] [e.g. a = 177 & b = 8]

Write: $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n $\Omega(n)$ for the number ofprime divisors of n with multiplicity

Connection A stronger version of (a) is a crucial ingredient for proving the upper bound on m(G) for almost simple groups Lie type G.

Write I(G, X) for the maximum size of an **irredundant base** $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$, i.e. $G > G_{(x_1)} > G_{(x_1, x_2)} > \cdots > G_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} = 1$. Note that $B(G, X) \leq I(G, X)$.

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} . Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

For $x \in S$, fix $\langle S \setminus x \rangle \leqslant M_x \underset{max}{<} G$.

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

For $x \in S$, fix $\langle S \setminus x \rangle \leqslant M_x \underset{\max}{<} G$.

Lemma $\bigcap_{x \in S} M_x < \bigcap_{x \in S \setminus y} M_x$ for all $y \in S$.

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

For $x \in S$, fix $\langle S \setminus x \rangle \leq M_x \underset{max}{<} G$.

Lemma $\bigcap_{x \in S} M_x < \bigcap_{x \in S \setminus y} M_x$ for all $y \in S$.

A version of (a) implies that a small number of the M_x are conjugate.

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

For $x \in S$, fix $\langle S \setminus x \rangle \leq M_x \underset{max}{<} G$.

Lemma
$$\bigcap_{x \in S} M_x < \bigcap_{x \in S \setminus y} M_x$$
 for all $y \in S$.

A version of (a) implies that a small number of the M_x are conjugate.

We're done if there is a small number of conjugacy classes of G.

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

For $x \in S$, fix $\langle S \setminus x \rangle \leq M_x \underset{max}{<} G$.

Lemma
$$\bigcap_{x \in S} M_x < \bigcap_{x \in S \setminus y} M_x$$
 for all $y \in S$.

A version of (a) implies that a small number of the M_x are conjugate.

We're done if there is a small number of conjugacy classes of G.

By applying [Aschbacher | 1986] and [Liebeck & Seitz | 1990] there is just a small number of known classes,

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

For $x \in S$, fix $\langle S \setminus x \rangle \leqslant M_x \underset{max}{<} G$.

Lemma
$$\bigcap_{x \in S} M_x < \bigcap_{x \in S \setminus y} M_x$$
 for all $y \in S$.

A version of (a) implies that a small number of the M_x are conjugate.

We're done if there is a small number of conjugacy classes of G.

By applying [Aschbacher | 1986] and [Liebeck & Seitz | 1990] there is just a small number of known classes, plus other almost simple groups that are:

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

For $x \in S$, fix $\langle S \setminus x \rangle \leqslant M_x \underset{max}{<} G$.

Lemma
$$\bigcap_{x \in S} M_x < \bigcap_{x \in S \setminus y} M_x$$
 for all $y \in S$.

A version of (a) implies that a small number of the M_x are conjugate.

We're done if there is a small number of conjugacy classes of G.

By applying [Aschbacher | 1986] and [Liebeck & Seitz | 1990] there is just a small number of known classes, plus other almost simple groups that are:

1 of small order – we're also done

Let G be an almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

Let S be a minimal generating set for G.

Claim |S| is small i.e. $|S| \leq a(r + \omega(f))^b$.

For $x \in S$, fix $\langle S \setminus x \rangle \leqslant M_x \underset{max}{<} G$.

Lemma
$$\bigcap_{x \in S} M_x < \bigcap_{x \in S \setminus y} M_x$$
 for all $y \in S$.

A version of (a) implies that a small number of the M_x are conjugate.

We're done if there is a small number of conjugacy classes of G.

By applying [Aschbacher | 1986] and [Liebeck & Seitz | 1990] there is just a small number of known classes, plus other almost simple groups that are:

- 1 of small order we're also done
- **2** of Lie type of smaller rank we apply induction.

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

G is
$$C_p^n$$
 $d(G) = m(G) = n$

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

G is C_p^n d(G) = m(G) = n

G is a p-group d(G) = m(G)

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

G is
$$C_p^n$$
 $d(G) = m(G) = n$

G is a p-group d(G) = m(G)

G is nilpotent

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

G is
$$C_p^n$$
 $d(G) = m(G) = n$

G is a p-group d(G) = m(G)

G is nilpotent

 $[G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_k}$ where G_p is a Sylow *p*-subgroup]

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

G is
$$C_p^n$$
 $d(G) = m(G) = n$

G is a p-group d(G) = m(G)

G is nilpotent $d(G) = \max_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) \& m(G) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p)$ $[G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_k} \text{ where } G_p \text{ is a Sylow } p\text{-subgroup}]$

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

G is
$$C_p^n$$
 $d(G) = m(G) = n$

G is a p-group d(G) = m(G)

G is nilpotent $d(G) = \max_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) \& m(G) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p)$ $[G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_k} \text{ where } G_p \text{ is a Sylow } p\text{-subgroup}]$

Theorem (Guralnick // Lucchini | 1989)

If G is finite, then $d(G) \leq \max_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) + 1$.

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

G is
$$C_p^n$$
 $d(G) = m(G) = n$

G is a p-group d(G) = m(G)

G is nilpotent $d(G) = \max_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) \& m(G) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p)$ $[G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_k} \text{ where } G_p \text{ is a Sylow } p\text{-subgroup}]$

Theorem (Guralnick // Lucchini | 1989)

If G is finite, then $d(G) \leq \max_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) + 1$.

However, the bound $m(G) \leq \sum_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) + 1$ is true for soluble groups G but is false in general [Lucchini, Moscatiello, Spiga | 2021].

Question Are d(G) and m(G) controlled by the Sylow subgroups of G?

G is
$$C_p^n$$
 $d(G) = m(G) = n$

G is a p-group d(G) = m(G)

G is nilpotent $d(G) = \max_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) \& m(G) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p)$ $[G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_k} \text{ where } G_p \text{ is a Sylow } p\text{-subgroup}]$

Theorem (Guralnick // Lucchini | 1989)

If G is finite, then $d(G) \leq \max_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) + 1$.

However, the bound $m(G) \leq \sum_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p) + 1$ is true for soluble groups G but is false in general [Lucchini, Moscatiello, Spiga | 2021].

Theorem (H | 2023)

If G is finite, then $m(G) \leq a(\sum_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p))^b$. [e.g. $a = 10^{10} \& b = 10$]

Theorem (H | 2023)

If G is finite, then
$$m(G) \leq a(\sum_{p \text{ prime}} d(G_p))^b$$
. [e.g. $a = 10^{10} \& b = 10$]





Theorem (H | 2023)

If G is a finite almost simple group of Lie type of rank r over \mathbb{F}_{p^f} , for prime p, acting primitively on X, then $B(G, X) \leq ar^b + \omega(f)$. [e.g. a = 177 & b = 8]